

# Flux-induced isometry gauging in heterotic strings

Wu-yen Chuang<sup>1,2</sup> and Peng Gao<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*ITP, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*SLAC, Stanford University, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.*

wychuang@stanford.edu, gaopeng@sas.upenn.edu

## Abstract

We study the effect of flux-induced isometry gauging of the scalar manifold in  $N = 2$  heterotic string compactification with gauge fluxes. We show that a vanishing theorem by Witten provides the protection mechanism. The other ungauged isometries in hyper moduli space could also be protected, depending on the gauge bundle structure. We also discuss the related issue in IIB setting.

## 1 Introduction

It is very difficult to build a fully realistic string model without using flux compactifications [1]. There are by now various sources of evidence suggesting that we should not restrict ourselves to the study of Calabi-Yau spaces as string theory vacua. The study of mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau flux compactification, for instance, will inevitably lead us to the territory of "Non-Kählerity" [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]

It is also very interesting to study the fate of the well-known IIA/heterotic string duality if we compactify IIA string on the non-Kähler background. This nonperturbative duality between IIA on  $K3$  fibered Calabi-Yau and heterotic string on  $K3 \times T^2$  was first studied in [8, 9] and then generalized to the case with fluxes and  $SU(3)$ -structure manifolds [13, 11]. The effect of gauging induced by torsions in geometry and by various kinds of fluxes in IIA were mapped to the gauge fluxes in heterotic string.

When we turn on the RR or NSNS fluxes in IIA/IIB/heterotic  $N = 2$  compactification, supergravity analysis suggests that it will lead to the isometry gauging of the scalar manifold [10]. This means the hypermultiplets become charged under certain vector multiplets. The gauging and the charges are specified by the killing vectors, which are determined by the fluxes turned on. The non-perturbative objects in string theory, D-branes or D-instantons, presumably could destroy the isometries in the hyper moduli space by introducing RR dependence into the action. In [14], the authors showed that the allowed instantons in IIA string setting will not remove the flux-gauged isometries; namely the flux will protect the gauged isometries<sup>1</sup>. However, other isometries are generically lifted by instanton corrections. It is not clear whether the non-perturbative correction still preserves the quaternionic structure. We notice similar arguments are not enough to reach the same conclusion in IIB case, where the shift symmetry of RR scalar  $C_0$  is gauged by the NSNS flux and multiple instanton branes can contribute  $C_0$  dependent corrections to the moduli space metric.

In this paper we study the  $N = 2$  gauged supergravity resulting from heterotic string theory compactified on  $K3 \times T^2$  with gauge fluxes. The gauging in the supergravity analysis is achieved by turning on the abelian gauge fluxes. The exact matching between the IIA and heterotic flux parameters can be worked out straightforwardly. In  $N = 2$  heterotic string compactification, the hyper moduli space could receive  $\alpha'$ -correction [19], perturbatively and non-perturbatively. A worldsheet instanton wrapping a holomorphic cycle in K3, for example, could give correction to the hyper moduli space because there are hypermultiplets coming from  $H^2(K3)$ . However, the isometry gauging is achieved by turning on the abelian gauge fluxes over certain 2 cycle  $C$  in K3 [11], which means the gauge bundle restricted to the 2 cycle  $V|_C$  is non-trivial. This is precisely the situation where the instanton correction is zero [20].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the isometry protection mechanism in IIA setting. In section 3 we first review the IIA/heterotic duality and then demonstrate how Witten's vanishing theorem helps protect the gauged isometry in heterotic string. Lastly, discussion and conclusion follow.

---

<sup>1</sup>See [22] for a similar result in the setting of five-dimensional heterotic M-theory.

## 2 Isometry protection in IIA flux compactifications

In this section we begin by reviewing the isometry gauging in IIA setting and how NSNS flux protects certain isometries [14]. The protection follows from the tadpole consideration on the world volume of the D-instantons.

First let us consider IIA on a Calabi-Yau  $M$ . Each  $N = 2$  hypermultiplet contains two complex scalars  $z^a$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, h^{2,1}$  coming from complex structure moduli of the Calabi-Yau and two scalars  $\varphi^\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$  from expansion of the RR potential  $C_3$  in a particular symplectic marking of  $H^3(M)$

$$C_3 = \varphi^\alpha A_\alpha + \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha B^\alpha, \alpha = 0, \dots, h^{2,1} \quad (2.1)$$

$\varphi^0$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ , the dilaton  $\phi$  and the NSNS axion  $a$  form the universal hypermultiplet obtained by dualizing the tensor multiplet in four dimensions. In the dimensionally reduced  $N = 2$  supergravity theory, these scalars reside on a quaternionic manifold with the metric given by [15, 16]:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & d\phi^2 + g_{a\bar{b}} dz^a d\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \frac{e^{4\phi}}{4} [da + \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha d\varphi^\alpha - \varphi^\alpha d\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha] [da + \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha d\varphi^\alpha - \varphi^\alpha d\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha] \\ & - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{2} (\text{Im}\mathcal{M}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} [d\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha + \mathcal{M}_{\alpha\gamma} d\varphi^\gamma] [d\tilde{\varphi}_\beta + \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\beta\delta} d\varphi^\delta]. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the background fluxes  $F_4$  and  $H_3$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_4 &= \lambda_I \tilde{\omega}^I \\ H_3 &= p^\alpha A_\alpha + q_\alpha B^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}^i$  a basis for  $H^{2,2}(M)$ .

We now have the following killing vectors corresponding to the isometries to be gauged[17, 18]:

$$\begin{aligned} (k_F)_I &= -2\lambda_I \partial_a \\ k_H &= (p^\alpha \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha - q_\alpha \varphi^\alpha) \partial_a + p^\alpha \partial_{\varphi^\alpha} + q_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $F_4$  and  $H_3$  determine the charges under the  $I^{th}$  vector and the graviphoton fields respectively <sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>2</sup>Throughout the paper  $\alpha\beta\dots$  and  $IJ\dots$  denote hyper and vector indices respectively.

Due to the absence of 1 and 5-cycles in the Calabi-Yau manifold, the only relevant IIA D-instanton is the D2-instanton wrapping a 3-cycle. Consider an instanton state consisting of E2 branes wrapping a cycle in the homology class expressible as the formal sum

$$\Gamma_{inst} = \sum_i c^i \Gamma_i . \quad (2.4)$$

This configuration contributes a  $\varphi_i$  dependence

$$\int_{\Gamma} C_3 = \sum_i c^i \varphi_i \quad (2.5)$$

to the effective action<sup>3</sup>. Transforming the scalar manifold metric under  $k_H$  we find

$$k_H(\int_{\Gamma} C_3) = \sum_i c^i p_i . \quad (2.6)$$

For generic values of  $c^i$ , the classical brane action breaks any isometry involving a shift in the value of fields  $\varphi_i$ .

If this were true, it will certainly destroy the consistency of the gauging procedure. However, as noticed in [14] there is a simple mechanism at work which prohibits this from happening. The crucial observation of [14] is that the Bianchi identity for world volume gauge flux reads

$$dF = -H_3 . \quad (2.7)$$

On a compact world volume without boundary this requires

$$\sum_i c^i p_i = 0 , \quad (2.8)$$

from which it's obvious any physically realized instanton cannot break the gauged isometries.

A more concise way to rephrase the protection mechanism is to recall that H flux induces magnetic charges for the brane gauge field. It implies we can not wrap a D2-instanton over a 3 cycle on which we turned on  $H$  flux. This is simply the constraint imposed by Freed-Witten anomaly [21].

---

<sup>3</sup>Here we dropped the symplectic structure on  $H^3$  and expand in the basis  $\{\gamma^i\}$  dual to the homology basis  $\{\Gamma_i\}$ . We have  $C_3 = \varphi_i \gamma^i$  and  $H_3 = p_i \gamma^i$ .

### 3 Isometry protection in heterotic string

In this section we will review the IIA/heterotic duality with gauge fluxes. We will provide an exact matching between the flux parameters [9, 13, 11]. Then we show a theorem due to Witten guarantees the protection of gauged isometries.

#### 3.1 IIA/heterotic duality

The IIA/heterotic duality was first studied in [8, 9]. Besides the spectrum matching, the conifold transitions in IIA string on CY is mapped to the Higgsing of the charged hypermultiplets in heterotic string. The Higgsing can move the theory around the different moduli space strata with different dimensions. This beautiful phenomenon is not the topic of our paper although the transition in the presence of fluxes is certainly worth further studying.

We will begin by recalling the results in [11, 13]. The anomaly cancellation in the 10d supergravity requires we modify the heterotic  $H$  in the following way,

$$H = dB + \omega_{gravity} - \omega_{YM}. \quad (3.1)$$

From this we get a new Bianchi identity:

$$dH = tr R \wedge R - tr F \wedge F \quad (3.2)$$

where  $R$  is the Riemann curvature of the internal manifold and  $F$  is the field strength of the Yang-Mills fields.

For heterotic string on  $K3 \times T^2$ , we will need 24 instanton number to cancel the  $\int_{K3} tr(R \wedge R)$  contribution. In earlier literature, people usually studied the gauge bundle with  $c_1(V) = 0$ . But in fact there exists no obstruction for us to turn on  $c_1$  of the gauge bundle (equivalent to turning on abelian gauge fluxes). It is also possible to turn on  $c_1$  such that it does not contribute to  $\int tr(F \wedge F)$ . This can be seen as follows. Let us first turn on the following gauge fluxes over the 2 cycles in K3,

$$\int_{\gamma^\alpha} F_{gauge}^I = m^{\alpha I}, \quad I = 0, \dots, n_V, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 22. \quad (3.3)$$

where  $I$  is the index for vector moduli and the zeroth component stands for the graviphoton. These fluxes could contribute to the tadpole condition [11, 12]:

$$\int_{K3} tr(F \wedge F) + \delta = 24 \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\delta = \int_{K3} F_{gauge}^I \wedge F_{gauge}^J \eta_{IJ} = m^{\alpha I} m^{\beta J} \rho_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{IJ} \quad (3.5)$$

$\rho_{\alpha\beta}$  is the K3 intersection matrix with signature  $(3, 19)$  and  $\eta_{IJ}$  is the invariant tensor on  $SO(2, n_V - 1)$ . As we will see later, turning on  $m^{\alpha I}$  in heterotic is dual to turning on various kinds of fluxes in IIA. So if we start from IIA on K3-fibered CY with fluxes and are interested in finding its heterotic dual with gauge fluxes, we should consider  $\delta = 0$  so that the originally balanced tadpole condition will not be disturbed.<sup>4</sup>

Now let us consider the gauging effect of turning on gauge fluxes according to (3.3) over 20 2 cycles in an attractive  $K3$ , following [11].<sup>5</sup> After expanding ten-dimensional  $\mathcal{B}$  field in terms of the  $H^2(K3)$  basis  $\omega_\alpha$ ,

$$\mathcal{B} = B + b^\alpha \omega_\alpha \quad (3.6)$$

the covariant derivative of  $b^\alpha$  becomes

$$Db^\alpha = db^\alpha - (\eta_{IJ} m^{\alpha J}) A^I = db^\alpha - m_I^\alpha A^I \quad (3.7)$$

The resulting killing vector is

$$k_I = -m_I^\alpha \partial_{b^\alpha}. \quad (3.8)$$

Recall that  $b^\alpha$  in heterotic string corresponds to some  $\varphi^\alpha$  in (2.2). Comparing (3.8) with (2.3), we immediately see that the gauging from the gauge fluxes does not correspond to any  $H$  or  $F$  in IIA theory. But the effect can be dualized into the gauging coming from the torsions in the geometry; it is dual to IIA on an  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  structure manifold [11]. For a review on  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  structure manifolds in the context of supergravity, see [6].

Note that there are also bundle moduli coming from the gauge bundle. Their number is determined by the dimension of the sheaf cohomology group. In this case the sheaf will be the endomorphism of the gauge bundle [23]. It is easy to show that the first order

---

<sup>4</sup>We would have to solve the anomaly cancellation condition from the very beginning if  $\delta$  does not vanish.

<sup>5</sup>In [13] the gauge fluxes are turned on over the  $P^1$  of the  $K3$  in heterotic string, which corresponds to  $F$  with support on the base of the  $K3$  fibered Calabi-Yau. This flux will charge the axion in heterotic string. The IIA dual of the gauge flux through  $T^2$  fiber in heterotic  $K3$  is unknown.

deformation of this sheaf is  $H^1(K3, E^* \otimes E)$ , where  $E^*$  is the dual sheaf. The dimension counting, which includes the high order obstruction, can be done by computing the Euler character  $\chi(E, E)$ ,

$$\chi(E, E) = \sum (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(E, E) = \int_X ch(E^*) ch(E) \sqrt{Td(X)} \quad (3.9)$$

Let now  $X$  be a K3 surface. If  $E$  is a coherent sheaf on  $X$  with  $rk(E) = r$ ,  $c_1(E) = c_1$ , and  $c_2(E) = c_2$ , the complex dimension of the bundle moduli is given by  $2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2 - 2(r^2 - 1)$ .

At this moment it is not clear now to charge these bundle moduli under the gauge fields because we know very little about the hyper moduli space. We will revisit this problem in the future. In the next section, we will demonstrate the mechanism which protects the gauged isometries from gauge fluxes in heterotic string.

## 3.2 Witten's vanishing theorem

In this section we will show how Witten's result [20] can protect the gauging in  $N = 2$  heterotic theory. In the previous section, the gauging of the  $b^\alpha$  results from turning on the gauge flux over the corresponding 2 cycle  $\gamma^\alpha$ . So the worldsheet instanton wrapping  $\gamma^\alpha$  could break this gauging, by a calculation similar to in section 2. Namely we can integrate  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $\gamma^\alpha$  and find that  $k(\int_{\gamma^\alpha} \mathcal{B}) \neq 0$ , where  $k$  is the killing vector.

In [20], it was shown that the worldsheet instanton correction to the hyper moduli space is given by

$$U_{\gamma^\alpha} = \exp\left(-\frac{A(\gamma^\alpha)}{2\pi\alpha'} + i \int_{\gamma^\alpha} \mathcal{B}\right) \frac{\text{Pfaff}(\bar{\partial}_{V(-1)})}{(\det' \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{O}})^4} \quad (3.10)$$

The exponential factor comes from the classical instanton action while the rest is the one-loop determinant from fluctuations around the classical solution. More precisely, the  $\text{Pfaff}(\bar{\partial}_{V(-1)})$  in the numerator comes from one loop determinant of non-zero modes of the left-moving fermions. Three powers of  $(\det' \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{O}})$  come from the complex bosons representing the non-compact  $\mathbf{R}^4$  directions and the  $T^2$  factor. The remaining one follows by partly canceling the contribution of the normal bundle  $(\det \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(-2)})$  in  $K3$  against the right moving fermions.

It is in general a very hard problem to compute this quantity. Fortunately the theorem states that  $U_{\gamma^\alpha}$  vanishes if and only if the gauge bundle  $V$  restricted to  $\gamma^\alpha$  is non-trivial<sup>6</sup>. The non-trivial gauge bundle is always the case if we want to gauge the isometry in heterotic string. It is also very likely that the bundle restriction is already non-trivial before turning on the gauge fluxes. In this case, some ungauged isometries are also protected<sup>7</sup>. But we should keep in mind the possibility that the theory can move in the bundle moduli space such that the bundle becomes trivial along some 2 cycle in K3 and then the worldsheet instantons re-appear.

The other potential worry is that the  $U(1)$ s coming from  $T^2$  and graviphoton do not belong to the  $E_8 \times E_8$  bundle in the heterotic string. Turning on their gauge fluxes do not change the bundle restriction  $V|_{\gamma^\alpha}$ . Therefore, in order to protect the gauged isometries, we need the bundle structure to be non-trivial along the 2 cycles along which we turn on the gauge fluxes. The study of the protection mechanism becomes model dependent; we have to know the bundle structure first before commenting on whether certain gauged isometries are lifted.

Nonetheless, in heterotic string the protection of the flux-induced gauging is still stronger than the IIA case. In IIA case, we have no gauging protection mechanism if we don't turn on  $H$  and the ungauged isometries are generically lifted by the quantum effects.

## 4 Discussion and conclusion

In this paper we study the flux-induced isometry gauging in  $N = 2$  heterotic string compactified on  $K3 \times T^2$  with gauge fluxes. A vanishing theorem by Witten [20] guarantees that the gauging is protected against the worldsheet instanton effect. In heterotic string, the isometry protection can even reach the ungauged ones, which is contrary to IIA. In IIA we can not protect the gauging without  $H$  and usually lose the ungauged isometry due to D-instanton effects. However, it is still not clear how to charge the bundle moduli under the vector moduli, which is also contrary to IIA, where any hyper moduli can be

---

<sup>6</sup>This is equivalent to that the operator  $(\bar{\partial}_{V(-1)})$  has a nonempty kernel. For our purpose,  $V$  can be taken as the abelian vector bundle where the gauge flux sits and  $V(-1) = \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes V$ .

<sup>7</sup>For example, we can embed the K3 spin connection into the gauge group. The bundle will be non-trivial along every 2 cycle in K3.



charged under the vectors.

In the IIB case, the situation remains obscure since various branes with different dimensions come into play. Especially the  $D1$  instanton wraps a 2 cycle and the Freed-Witten anomaly argument does not eliminate its existence. In view of the relation between IIB and type I theory, it seems possible that a combination of  $H$  flux and the argument discussed here can achieve the protection of gauging in IIB. One could also try to study the closely related problem in  $N = 1$  orientifold setting. We leave this for future study.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Cingular & Verizon Wireless for providing phone service. WYC received support from the DOE under contract DE-AC03-76SF00515. PG is supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG02-95ER40893.

## References

- [1] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, “Flux compactification,” arXiv:hep-th/0610102.
- [2] S. Gurrieri and A. Micu, “Type IIB theory on half-flat manifolds,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 2181 [arXiv:hep-th/0212278].
- [3] S. Gurrieri, J. Louis, A. Micu and D. Waldram, “Mirror symmetry in generalized Calabi-Yau compactifications,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **654** (2003) 61 [arXiv:hep-th/0211102].
- [4] S. Fidanza, R. Minasian and A. Tomasiello, “Mirror symmetric  $SU(3)$ -structure manifolds with NS fluxes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **254** (2005) 401 [arXiv:hep-th/0311122].
- [5] M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. Tomasiello, “Generalized structures of  $N = 1$  vacua,” *JHEP* **0511** (2005) 020 [arXiv:hep-th/0505212].
- [6] M. Grana, J. Louis and D. Waldram, “Hitchin functionals in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity,” arXiv:hep-th/0505264.
- [7] W. Y. Chuang, S. Kachru and A. Tomasiello, “Complex / symplectic mirrors,” arXiv:hep-th/0510042.
- [8] A. Klemm, W. Lerche and P. Mayr, “K3 Fibrations and heterotic type II string duality,” *Phys. Lett. B* **357**, 313 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9506112].

- [9] S. Kachru and C. Vafa, “Exact results for  $N=2$  compactifications of heterotic strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **450**, 69 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9505105].
- [10] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, “New Vacua for Type II String Theory,” Phys. Lett. B **388**, 736 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510227].
- [11] J. Louis and A. Micu, “Heterotic-type IIA duality with fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0608171.
- [12] J. Louis and A. Micu, “Heterotic string theory with background fluxes,” Nucl. Phys. B **626**, 26 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110187].
- [13] G. Curio, A. Klemm, B. K rs and D. L st, “Fluxes in heterotic and type II string compactifications,” Nucl. Phys. B **620** (2002) 237 [arXiv:hep-th/0106155].
- [14] A. K. Kashani-Poor and A. Tomasiello, “A stringy test of flux-induced isometry gauging,” Nucl. Phys. B **728**, 135 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505208].
- [15] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara and L. Girardello, “Geometry Of Type Ii Superstrings And The Moduli Of Superconformal Field Theories,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **4**, 2475 (1989).
- [16] S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal, “Quaternionic Manifolds For Type Ii Superstring Vacua Of Calabi-Yau Spaces,” Nucl. Phys. B **332** (1990) 317.
- [17] J. Louis and A. Micu, “Type II theories compactified on Calabi-Yau threefolds in the presence of background fluxes,” Nucl. Phys. B **635**, 395 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202168].
- [18] S. Kachru and A. K. Kashani-Poor, “Moduli potentials in type IIA compactifications with RR and NS flux,” JHEP **0503**, 066 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411279].
- [19] P. S. Aspinwall, “Compactification, geometry and duality:  $N = 2$ ,” arXiv:hep-th/0001001; P. S. Aspinwall, arXiv:hep-th/9611137.
- [20] E. Witten, “World-sheet corrections via D-instantons,” JHEP **0002**, 030 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907041].
- [21] D. S. Freed and E. Witten, “Anomalies in string theory with D-branes,” arXiv:hep-th/9907189.

- [22] L. Anguelova and K. Zoubos, “Five-brane instantons vs flux-induced gauging of isometries,” JHEP **0610**, 071 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606271].
- [23] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, ”The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves,” Friedrich Vieweg and Son (October 1997)